WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America v.			ca	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL			
		Jerry Flores Cordova		Case Number:	13-6360M-001		
	cordance are esta			a detention hearing has be	en held. I conclude that the following	j	
×	•	lear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant ding trial in this case.					
×		oreponderance of the evidence of the trial in this case.	conderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the defendant trial in this case.				
			PART I F	INDINGS OF FACT			
	(1)	- ' ' ' '	•	•	eral offense)(state or local offense th al jurisdiction had existed) that is	at	
		a crime of viole	nce as defined in 18	U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).			
		an offense for v	which the maximum s	sentence is life imprisonmen	t or death.		
		an offense for v	vhich a maximum ter	m of imprisonment of ten ye	ears or more is prescribed in	1	
		a felony that wa	as committed after th U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(e defendant had been convi A)-(C), or comparable state	icted of two or more prior federal offe or local offenses.	nses	
		device (as thos	involves a minor vict e terms are defined i r 18 U.S.C. §2250.	im or that involves the posson section 921), or any other	ession or use of a firearm or destruct dangerous weapon, or involves a fai	ive ilure	
	(2)	18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The offense described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was on repending trial for a federal, state or local offense.			ease		
	(3)	18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(C): A period of conviction)(release of the defendant from		nore than five years has elap prisonment) for the offense	osed since the (date of described in finding 1.		
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) a will reasonably assure to not rebutted this presure	he safety of (an)othe	outtable presumption that no er person(s) and the commu	condition or combination of condition nity. I further find that the defendant	ns has	
			Altern	ative Findings			
	(1)	18 U.S.C. 3142(e)(3):	There is probable cau	use to believe that the defer	dant has committed an offense		
		for which a max	kimum term of impris	onment of ten years or more	e is prescribed in	1	
		under 18 U.S.C	s. § 924(c), 956(a), or	r 2332b.			
		under 18 U.S.C prescribed.	. 1581-1594, for whi	ch a maximum term of impri	sonment of 20 years or more is		
		an offense invo	lving a minor victim u	under section	.2		
	(2)	The defendant has not	rebutted the presump	otion established by finding	1 that no condition or combination of equired and the safety of the commur	nity.	

 $^{^{1}} Insert \ as \ applicable: (a) \ Controlled \ Substances \ Act \ (21 \ U.S.C. \ \S \ 801 \ et \ seq.); (b) \ Controlled \ Substances \ Import \ and \ Export \ Act \ (21 \ U.S.C. \ \S \ 951 \ et \ seq.); or \ (c) \ Section \ 1 \ of \ Act \ of \ Sept. \ 15, \ 1980 \ (21 \ U.S.C. \ \S \ 955a).$

 $^{{}^{2}\}text{Insert as applicable } 18\,\text{U.S.C.}\,\$\$1201,1591,2241-42,2244(a)(1),2245,2251,2251A,2252(a)(1),2252(a)(2),2252(a)(3,2252(a)(4),2260,2421,2422,2423,07,2425.$

Case 2:13-mj-06360-DKD Document 6 Filed 07/19/13 Page 2 of 3

		Alternative Findings				
X	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.				
\boxtimes	(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.				
	(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).				
	(4)					
		PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)				
×	(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information ³ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that: _The defendant has a significant criminal history, which includes three convictions for aggravated DUI. At the				
		time of his arrest, the defendant was driving while under the influence of drugs and/or alcohol and his driver's				
		license was suspended. The defendant was arrested with over 33 pounds of marijuana in his vehicle and				
		attempted to flee law enforcement, requiring officers to deploy a tire deflation device to stop the defendant's				
		vehicle. The defendant's also has a history of substance abuse.				
×	(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:				
		The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.				
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.				
		The defendant has a prior criminal history.				
		There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.				
		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.				
		The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of				
×	The o	defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:				
	the d	efendant denies that he used methamphetamine and disputes the results of the July 15, 2013 urine test, which is				
	<u>repor</u>	ted as positive for methamphetamine.				

 $^{^3}$ The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

Case 2:13-mj-06360-DKD Document 6 Filed 07/19/13 Page 3 of 3

In addition:

The defendant's criminal history includes probation violations and four convictions for failure to appear. The defendant also has an outstanding felony warrant from Pinal County Superior Court for failure to appear (resisting arrest). The defendant is unemployed and does not have apparent financial ties to the community, although he is a lifelong resident of Casa Grande and has strong family ties to the community

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 19th day of July, 2013.

United States Magistrate Judge